

The Lord in Gethsemane (Lk 22:43-45; Heb 5:7)

Graham Thomason, 4 April 2015

This article is linked to on the FarAboveAll website here: www.FarAboveAll.com/020_Expository/01_Expository.html

The Lord Jesus Christ came to do God's will (prophesied in Ps 40:6-7, quoted in Heb 10:7).

VERSE	AV (Authorized Version) ¹	FAA (The <i>FarAboveAll</i> Translation)
Heb 10:5	Wherefore when He cometh into the world, He saith, Sacrifice and offering Thou wouldest not, but a body hast Thou prepared Me:	which <i>is</i> why, on coming into the world, he says, “You did not desire sacrifice and offering, but you have prepared a body for me.
Heb 10:6	In burnt offerings and <i>sacrifices</i> for sin Thou hast had no pleasure.	You did not take pleasure in burnt <i>offerings</i> and sin <i>offerings</i> .
Heb 10:7	Then said I, Lo, I come (in the volume of the book it is written of Me,) to do Thy will, O God.	Then I said, ‘Behold, I have come – in <i>the</i> scroll of <i>the</i> book it stands written about me – to do your will, O God. ’ ”

The Lord was resolute about fulfilling this:

Lk 9:51	And it came to pass, when the time was come that He should be received up, He steadfastly set His face to go to Jerusalem,	Now it came to pass, towards the completion of the days to him being taken up, that he resolutely disposed himself to go to Jerusalem.
---------	---	---

But there was some kind of physical attack on Him, which, if it had been successful, would have ended in His premature death, so not according to scripture.

Lk 22:39	And He came out, and went, as He was wont, to the mount of Olives; and His disciples also followed Him.	And he went out as <i>was his</i> custom, to the Mount of Olives, and his disciples also followed him.
Lk 22:40	And when He was at the place, He said unto them, Pray that ye enter not into temptation.	When he arrived at the place, he said to them, “Pray that you do not enter into temptation.”
Lk 22:41	And He was withdrawn from them about a stone's cast, and kneeled down, and prayed,	Then he withdrew about a stone's throw from them, and knelt down and prayed.

¹ With capitalized divine pronouns.

Lk 22:42	Saying, Father, if Thou be willing, remove this cup from Me: nevertheless not My will, but Thine, be done.	And said, “Father, if you are willing to remove this cup from me ... – but not my will, but yours be done.”
Lk 22:43	And there appeared an angel unto Him from heaven, strengthening Him.	Then an angel from heaven appeared to him, strengthening him,
Lk 22:44	And being in an agony He prayed more earnestly: and His sweat was as it were great drops of blood falling down to the ground.	but being in agony, he prayed <i>all the</i> more intensely. Furthermore his sweat had become like clots of blood falling to the ground.
Lk 22:45	And when He rose up from prayer, and was come to His disciples, He found them sleeping for sorrow,	Then he arose from prayer and went to the disciples and found them lying asleep from sorrow.

Many will tell us that Jesus asked God to remove the crucifixion from Him, but that cannot be the case. The Lord Jesus Christ was determined to fulfil His mission. He never flinched at anything that happened in His kangaroo-court trial by the high priest, nor when He was led up to the cross or when He was on the cross.

To suggest that Christ was trying to duck out of the crucifixion would make Him a coward, not an overcomer, and would leave Him as a terrible example for us who are supposed to follow His lead.

Phil 2:5	Let this mind be in you, which was also in Christ Jesus:	So have this frame of mind in you, which <i>is</i> also in Christ Jesus,
----------	--	--

How can it be like this?

Jesus: Please God, let me avoid the crucifixion.

God: No, you get on with your job.

Hebrews tells us that there was no disagreement between Christ and His Father:

Heb 5:7	Who in the days of His flesh, when He had offered up prayers and supplications with strong crying and tears unto Him That was able to save Him from death, and was heard in that He feared;	And in the days of his flesh he made supplications and entreaties with loud cries and tears to him <i>who was</i> able to save him from death, and he was heard because of <i>his</i> devoutness,
---------	--	--

Christ was heard because He knew He was under attack, and He needed to be given strength to survive it and continue with His mission.

Now in recent times, there is a more subtle way in which this teaching is under attack. It is by altering scripture itself, and Christians need to know about it. Let's see what the Revised Standard Version has in Luke's Gospel:

Revised Standard Version

temptation.”⁴¹ And he withdrew from them about a stone’s throw, and knelt down and prayed, ⁴² “Father, if thou art willing, remove this cup from me; nevertheless not my will, but thine, be done.”⁴³ ⁴⁵ And when he rose from prayer, he came to the disciples and found them sleeping for sorrow, ⁴⁶ and

In the main text, which is all that would be read in a typical public reading, the episode of the attack on Him has been deleted! There is a footnote giving the missing verses, but they are not credited with authenticity.

¹Other ancient authorities add verses 43 and 44: ⁴³And there appeared to him an angel from heaven, strengthening him. ⁴⁴ And being in an agony he prayed more earnestly; and his sweat became like great drops of blood falling down upon the ground.

Let's see how it's presented in NA26, (Nestle-Aland, 26th edition):

Ἐπροσηύχετο 42 λέγων· πάτερ, εἰ βούλει ἔπαρένεγκε τοῦτο τὸ ποτήριον ἀπ’ ἐμοῦ· πλὴν μὴ τὸ θέλημά μου ἀλλὰ τὸ σὸν γινέσθω. [[43 ὤφθη δὲ αὐτῷ ἄγγελος ἀπ’ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἐνισχύων αὐτόν. 44 καὶ γενόμενος ἐν ἀγωνίᾳ ἔκτενέστερον προσηύχετο· καὶ ἐγένετο ὁ ἰδρῶς αὐτοῦ ὡσεὶ θρόμβοι αἵματος καταβαίνοντες ἐπὶ τὴν γῆν.]] 45 καὶ

(R) f¹³ 892 al | -εγκεῖν A W Ψ ℞; txt ℥⁷⁵ B (D) T Θ f¹ 1241 al • [[43/44]] om. ℥^{(69vid).75} κ¹ A B N R T W 579. 1071* pc f sy* sa bo^{pt}; Hier^{mss} (f¹³ om. hic et pon. p. Mt 26,39) | add. (pt. c. obel.) κ^{*.2} D L Θ Ψ 0171 f¹ ℞ lat sy^{c.p.h} bo^{pt}; Hier^{mss} | T (απο) του D Θ Ψ pc | (εγ. δε D L Θ ℞; txt κ* Ψ f^{1.(13)} al | Γ-νοντος κ^{*.2} pc lat sy^p • 45 T

The introduction says,

Readings enclosed in double brackets, **[[]]**, however, are known not to be a part of the original text.

So NA26 claims the omission is a fact. The apparatus shows that Vaticanus omits the text, but Sinaiticus (first hand) contains it. The symbol ℞ stands for the majority text (over a thousand manuscripts here), and, in NA, any of the "constant witnesses²" not listed in opposing readings. That is how NA obscures the evidence of the important uncials K Q Γ Δ.

² See p.50 of the introduction.

Let's look at the evidence, from Reuben Swanson's presentation. Although the bulk of manuscripts are compressed into the symbol \mathfrak{M} , the majority text, we get a clearer picture of the evidence. N.B. Swanson is not a supporter of the majority text.

43-44 om.		B \mathfrak{M}^{75} K ^c A N T W 13* 69 124 788 579 [uw]	
43 ὠφθη δὲ αὐτῷ ἄγγελος	ἀπ'	οὐρανοῦ ἐνισχύων αὐτόν.	44 καὶ γενόμενος K*
43 ὠφθη δὲ αὐτῷ ἄγγελος	ἀπὸ τοῦ	οὐρανοῦ ἐνισχύων αὐτόν.	44 καὶ <u>γενόμενος</u> D U Θ 1071 ^c [w]
43 ὠφθη δὲ αὐτῷ ἄγγ.....	π'	οὐρανοῦ.....	44 F
43 ὠφθη δὲ αὐτῷ ἄγγελος	ἀπὸ τοῦ	οὐρανοῦ ἐνισχύων αὐτόν.	44 καὶ γενόμενος Ψ
43 ὠφθη δὲ αὐτῷ ἄγγελος <u>κυρίου</u>	ἀπ'	οὐρανοῦ ἐνισχύων αὐτόν.	44 καὶ <u>γενόμενος</u> 1424
43 ὠφθη δὲ αὐτῷ ἄγγελος	ἀπ'	οὐρανοῦ ἐνισχύων αὐτόν.	44 καὶ <u>γενόμενος</u> \mathfrak{M} K L M Q Γ Δ Λ Π f ¹ 2 157 565 700 1346 [uw]τ
om.		B \mathfrak{M}^{75} K ^c A N T W 13* 69 579 [uw]	
ἐν ἀγωνίᾳ ἐκτενέστερον προσηύχето· καὶ ἐγένετο		ἰδρῶς αὐτοῦ ὡσεὶ	θρόμβοι K*
ἐν ἀγωνίᾳ ἐκτενέστερον προσηύχето· <u>ἐγένετο δὲ ὁ</u>		ἰδρῶς αὐτοῦ ὡς	θρόμβοι D
ἐν ἀγωνίᾳ ἐκτενέστερον προσηύχето· καὶ ἐγένετο ὁ		ἰδρῶς αὐτοῦ ὡσεὶ	θρόμβοι Ψ f ¹ [uw]
.....		θρόμ..... 0171
ἐν ἀγωνίᾳ ἐκτενέστερον προσηύχето· καὶ ἐγένετο <u>τὸ</u>		ἰδρῶς <u>αὐτῷ</u> ὡσεὶ	θρόμβοι 157
ἐν ἀγωνίᾳ ἐκτενέστερον προσηύχето· <u>ἐγένετο δὲ ὁ</u>		ἰδρῶς αὐτοῦ ὡσεὶ	θρόμβοι \mathfrak{M} K L M Q U Γ Δ Θ Λ Π 565 700 1071 ^c 1346 1424 [w]τ
Iac. 22.41-44 \mathfrak{M}^{45} C P 33 28 vss. 43-44 F			
A 41 ὡση 69 579 1071 γονα M προσηχето F* 42 βουλι R H βουλη F G Δ Θ Λ 13 700 1346 η (ει) 579 παρενεγκαι R K L M Π 13 1582 579 παραινεγκειν 2* τουτω 579 43 ενισχυον K 2* ενησχυων L 44 αγωνια L M προσηχето G ιδρος 2* 565 ωσαι K* ωσαι Λ			
B 42 περ A E F G Y K L M Q S U Γ Δ Θ Λ Π Ψ Ω f ¹ 118 f ¹³ 69 124 2 157 565 579 700 788 1071 1346 1424 κε H πηρ N 43 κυ 1424 ουνου F G H Y K S U Γ Λ Ψ Ω f ¹ 118 2 157 700 1071 ^c 1346 1424 οουνου L			
C 42 αρξ (ante πλην) Λ αρξ της μεγα ε 118 (ante Πατερ) αρχ τη αγ και μγ ε 157 υπ τς γ f ¹ 118 43 αρξ της μεγας ε: E H f ¹ αρξ της ε G Ω αρχ Y 157 αρξ M			
D 42 σπβ (ante πατερ D 157) F K f ¹ 2 565 579 1346 (ante κε H) σπβ/α (ante περ) E G Y N U Γ Λ Π 118 124 788 1071 1424 σπβ/ι Ψ Ευ Λο σπβ : Ιω μβ : Μθ σρε : Μρ ρος E Λο σπβ : Ιω . : Μρ ρος : Μτ σρε 124 (ante πλην μη) σπγ/ι A Y U σπβ/α Θ 43 σπγ D F H K Q Π f ¹ 118 2 157 565 1071 ^c 1346 σπγ/ι E M S Λ Ω 1424 σπγ/α G σπγ/β Ψ Ευ Λο σπγ : Ιω . : Μθ . : Μρ . E			

We see that the physical attack on the Lord has very good textual support, especially when we remember that the symbol \mathfrak{M} stands for over a thousand manuscripts.

Rest assured that the majority text is the true text. Dr Leslie McFall, and on a smaller scale, I, have shown in separate studies that when Sinaiticus and Vaticanus differ, one of the readings is almost always the majority text. And it is the majority text that has "God was manifest in the flesh" in 1 Tim 3:16, Sinaiticus being a rogue with one or two minuscules as support.

Not only is the account of the physical attack on the Lord itself under attack in Luke's gospel. Hebrews 5:7 is under attack by textual critics, even though there is not a single manuscript to support the change which is conjectured. Below is NA26 on the matter.

7 ὃς ἐν ταῖς ἡμέραις τῆς σαρκὸς αὐτοῦ δεήσεις τε καὶ ἰκετηρίας πρὸς τὸν δυνάμενον σῶζειν αὐτὸν ἐκ θανάτου μετὰ κραυγῆς ἰσχυρᾶς καὶ δακρῶν προσενέγκας καὶ Ἦ εἰσακουσθεὶς ἀπὸ τῆς εὐλαβείας, 8 καίπερ ὢν υἱός, ἔμα-

● 7 [Τουκ Harnack cj]

We see that Adolf von Harnack, who was a professor of pastoral theology, has made a conjectural change to the text, which obviously meets with credibility in the eyes of NA (otherwise the entry would not be there): he conjectures that the text should add the word “not” and read:

And in the days of his flesh he made supplications and entreaties with loud cries and tears to him who was able to save him from death, **and he was not heard** ...

In other words, we are back to Jesus in contention with God, but this time not via interpretation, but via a textual argument (even without textual evidence!), so we are back to this scenario:

Jesus: Please God, let me avoid the crucifixion.

God: No, I will not hear you. You get on with your job.

Harnack said [from Wikipedia]:

That the earth in its course stood still; that a she-ass spoke; that a storm was quieted by a word, we do not believe, and we shall never again believe; but that the lame walked, the blind saw, and the deaf heard will not be so summarily dismissed as an illusion.

To Harnack, God who created the world is incapable of making the earth stand still, making an ass speak, or quieting a storm. Presumably he has no place for the resurrection.

Let us rejoice that our Lord had a very different spirit, and remember what He did for us.

Lk 9:51	And it came to pass, when the time was come that He should be received up, He steadfastly set His face to go to Jerusalem,	Now it came to pass, towards the completion of the days to him being taken up, that he resolutely disposed himself to go to Jerusalem.
---------	---	---

Christ accomplished His mission, was crucified, and was resurrected after three days and three nights, and became the assurance of resurrection for all those who believe.

Jn 11:25	Jesus said unto her, I am the resurrection, and the life: he that believeth in Me, though he were dead, yet shall he live:	Jesus said to her, “I am the resurrection and the life. He <i>who</i> believes in me, even if he dies, he will live.
----------	--	--

Let's conclude, not with just the resurrection, but with the out-resurrection.

Phil 3:7	But what things were gain to me, those I counted loss for Christ.	but whatever <i>things were</i> gains to me, those I consider a loss because of Christ –
Phil 3:8	Yea doubtless, and I count all things <i>but</i> loss for the excellency of the knowledge of Christ Jesus my Lord: for Whom I have suffered the loss of all things, and do count them <i>but</i> dung, that I may win Christ,	and indeed I even count everything to be a loss by reason of the pre-eminence of knowledge of Christ Jesus my Lord, for whom I have suffered the loss of everything, and I count them dung in order that I may gain Christ,
Phil 3:9	And be found in Him, not having mine own righteousness, which is of the law, but that which is through the faith of Christ, the righteousness which is of God by faith:	and that I may be found in him, not having my own righteousness which <i>is</i> from <i>the</i> law, but that <i>which is</i> through faith in Christ, the righteousness from God <i>based on</i> faith,
Phil 3:10	That I may know Him, and the power of His resurrection , and the fellowship of His sufferings, being made conformable unto His death;	so as to know him and the power of his resurrection and the fellowship of his sufferings, being conformed to his death,
Phil 3:11	If by any means I might attain unto the resurrection of the dead.	if somehow I can attain to the out-resurrection of the dead.

References

- NA26 (Nestle-Aland 26th edition text)
Nestle-Aland, *Novum Testamentum Graece*, 26 Auflage,
Deutsche Bibelgesellschaft, P.O.Box 810340, 7000 Stuttgart 80, Germany.
- RSV The Revised Standard Version, Second Edition, 1971. Published by Collins.
- Swanson Reuben Swanson (Editor), *New Testament Greek Manuscripts*, Luke. Sheffield Academic Press / William Carey International University Press. ISBN 1-85075-774-7.

Brief quotations from these references have been embodied in this critical article.